

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/811,080	HILSCHER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Theresa T. Snider	1744	

All Participants:

(1) Theresa T. Snider.

(2) David Howley.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 August 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-12 and 14-39

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Mr. Howley that the supplemental amendment and terminal disclaimers were received. Examiner believed the amended claims were still vague and indefinite. Examiner rewrote the claims and Mr. Howley agreed to the changes, all of which are in the attached Examiner's Amendment.